

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,258	09/28/2000	Nobuyoshi Morimoto	5596-00400	1180
7	590 07/02/2003			
Dan R. Christen Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 3267			. EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, MERILYN P	
Houston, TX 77253-3267				
110 000011, 111			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2171	11
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

¹ 8			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/675,258	MORIMOTO, NOBL	JYOSHI
Advisory Medicin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPET Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment which 	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appoint of the fee. The apporting in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-23,28,41-42,44-47,49-51,53</u>	3-70, and 73-74. Note that claims 71	l and 72 have been c	anceled and will
be entered.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) discon	royed by the Eveni	inor
<u> </u>		•	11 0 1.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	enu(s)(F10-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Application No.

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/04/03 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. The transceiver 106 of Fig. 3 of Theimer is till applied to reject on central server since the transceiver of Theimer are doing a central server job such as receiving and transmitting data to and from different resources and searching database (Col. 9, lines 30-45, for example). The server controls access to the network and its resources and provides resources, so the transceiver.

Please note that claims 71 and 72 have been canceled and will be entered.

FRANTZ COBY /
PRIMARY EXAMINER